BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2	COMMISSIONERS		
3	JIM O'CONNOR – CHAIRMAN	Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED	
4	LEA MÁRQUEZ PETERSON ANNA TOVAR	AUG 2 5	
5	KEVIN THOMPSON		
6	NICK MYERS	DOCKETE	DBY
7	IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLI		DOCKET NO. WS-21155A-22-0309
8	OF SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY, A ARIZONA CORPORATION, AND CACT UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, LLC	US STATE	W-02127A-22-0309
9	ARIZONA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPA APPROVAL TO TRANSFER WATER UT	ANY, FOR	
10	SYSTEM ASSETS, AND REINSTATEME SUBSEQUENT TRANSFER OF CERTIFIC	ENT AND	
11	CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY PURS TO A.R.S. § 40-285 AND A.A.C. R14-2-40	SUANT	
12	AND ESTABLISHMENT OF DEFERRED FOR SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY	DEBIT	DECISION NO
13	WATER SYSTEM ASSETS.	5	OPINION AND ORDER
14	DATE OF HEARING:	May 31, 202	3
15	PUBLIC COMMENT:	May 4, 2023	
16	PLACE OF HEARING:	Phoenix, Ari	zona
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	Julia L. Matt	er
18 19	APPEARANCES:	behalf of Sa	J. Black, FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C on nta Cruz Water Company and Cactus State ating Company, LLC; and
20	Ms. Katherine Kane, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, o		
21			the Utilities Division of the Arizona
22	BY THE COMMISSION:		
23	Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Arizona		
24	Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:		
25	FINDINGS OF FACT		
26	Procedural History		
27	1. On December 15, 2022, Santa Cruz Water Company ("Santa Cruz") and Cactus State		
28	Utility Operating Company, LLC ("Cactus State") (collectively "Joint Applicants") filed a joint		

5

7

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

24 25

23

26 27

28

application with the Commission for approval to transfer the water utility system assets of Santa Cruz to Cactus State, for reinstatement and subsequent transfer of Santa Cruz's Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N"), and for the establishment of a deferred debit for Santa Cruz's water system assets.

- 2. On December 20, 2022, a Procedural Order was issued regarding Consent to Email Service.
 - 3. On December 30, 2022, Cactus State filed an amended exhibit to the application.
- On January 19, 2023, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a Letter of 4. Sufficiency stating that the Company's application met the sufficiency requirements as outlined in Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-402(D).
- 5. On January 27, 2023, by Procedural Order, a telephonic procedural conference was scheduled for January 30, 2023, to discuss the scheduling of a hearing and other procedural deadlines.
- 6. On January 30, 2023, the telephonic procedural conference was held as scheduled. The parties discussed holding the hearing with other similar matters recently filed by Cactus State and a proposed procedural schedule.
- 7. On February 2, 2023, by Procedural Order, a hearing was scheduled for May 4, 2023, and other procedural deadlines were established.
 - 8. On March 9, 2023, Staff filed a Motion to Continue Hearing Date.
- 9. On March 15, 2023, by Procedural Order, Staff's Motion to Continue Hearing Date was granted, and the hearing date was continued until May 17, 2023. The original hearing date of May 4, 2023, was retained for public comment. In addition, the timeclock for issuing a final decision in this matter was continued until July 21, 2023.
- 10. On March 21, 2023, the Joint Applicants filed a Notice of Filing Affidavit of Publication and Proof of Mailing, certifying that notice of the hearing had been mailed to Santa Cruz's customers on February 27, 2023, and published in The Nogales International on February 21, 2023.
- On April 24, 2023, Staff filed a notice indicating that Staff's witnesses and counsel 11. would appear in person at the hearing.
 - On May 1, 2023, Staff and Cactus State filed a Joint Motion to Extend Filing Deadline 12.

and Hearing Date.

¹ The hearing was held in conjunction with the hearings for the following additional Cactus State acquisition matters: WS-21155A-22-0314/W-03912A-22-0314. WS-21155A-22-0319/W-02271A-22-0319, WS-21155A-22-0324/W-02502A-22-0324. WS-21155A-22-0327/W-03211A-22-0327, and WS-21155A-23-0013/W-04081A-23-0013.

13. On May 3, 2023, by Procedural Order, the Joint Motion to Extend Filing Deadline and Hearing Date was granted. The hearing date was continued until May 31, 2023, and the timeclock for issuing a final decision in this matter was extended until August 18, 2023.

- 14. On May 4, 2023, a public comment proceeding was held as scheduled. One member appeared to provide public comment in another Cactus State acquisition matter being heard concurrently.
- 15. On May 19, 2023, Staff filed its Staff Report, recommending approval of the application.
- 16. On May 25, 2023, Cactus State filed a Notice Regarding Participation at Hearing indicating that counsel would appear in person for the hearing and that its witness would appear via videoconference.
 - 17. Also on May 25, 2023, Staff filed its list of exhibits to be presented at the hearing.
- 18. On May 26, 2023, Santa Cruz and Cactus State filed a Joint Applicants' Response to Staff Report and a list of exhibits to be presented at the hearing.
- 19. Also on May 26, 2023, Staff filed a notice indicating that Staff's witnesses and counsel would appear in person at the hearing.
- 20. On May 31, 2023, the hearing in this matter was held as scheduled before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge of the Commission at its offices in Phoenix, Arizona. Cactus State and Santa Cruz appeared through counsel and presented the testimony of Mr. Josiah Cox, President of Cactus State. The Joint Applicants and Staff stipulated to the admission of the testimony of Mr. Ray Jones on behalf of the Joint Applicants. Staff appeared through counsel and presented the testimony of Mr. Reese Emert and Mr. Abdulqahar Abdulrahim. No members of the public appeared to provide public comment regarding the application in this matter. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was taken under advisement pending the submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order for the Commission's consideration.

Background

- 21. Santa Cruz is a public service corporation and a Class E water utility providing service to approximately 18 customers in the City of Elgin, Santa Cruz County, Arizona. Santa Cruz's CC&N was granted in Decision No. 41381 (June 28, 1971), and was revoked in Decision No. 65649 (February 18, 2003) for failure to file its Annual Reports. The Company's CC&N was transferred to the current owner, a sole proprietorship, by Decision No. 53955 (March 28, 1984).²
- 22. Cactus State is a utility operating company and a wholly owned subsidiary of CSWR, LLC ("CSWR"), which owns and operates several water and wastewater utilities in ten states, including Arizona, and serves approximately 201,000 wastewater customers and 127,000 water customers. According to Mr. Cox. Cactus State's "mission is to bring safe, reliable, and environmentally sustainable water resources to every community in the U.S." He further stated that Cactus State specializes in purchasing troubled water and wastewater utilities and investing in the facilities so that they provide safe and reliable service.³
- 23. Santa Cruz's current rates were approved in 1971 in conjunction with the grant of its CC&N in Decision No. 41381. Santa Cruz is authorized to charge a residential customer with a 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter a monthly minimum charge of \$6.50, which includes the first 5,000 gallons, and \$0.75 per 1,000 gallons above the minimum. However, Santa Cruz has been charging customers a flat rate of \$30.00 per month without Commission authorization. Meters were not installed for customers following the Commission's grant of a CC&N to Santa Cruz, and thus it is unable to charge the Commission-approved rates. Cactus State will install customer meters after closing and will begin charging the Commission-authorized rates, likely resulting in a rate decrease for most customers. While the meters are being installed, Cactus State will continue charging the flat rate of \$30.00, and once it obtains data regarding customer usage, it will average each customer's monthly usage and issue a bill credit for the difference between the \$30 rate paid and what the customer would have paid based on its average usage and the Commission-authorized rates. Cactus State anticipates filing a rate case and requesting consolidation with its other systems at the end of 2024.

²⁷ Ex. S-1 at 1, Attachment A at 1.

³ Ex. S-1 at 1; Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz, Ex. 1 at 7-8; Tr. at 20-21.

⁴ Ex. S-1 at 3; Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz at 10-11; Tr. at 55-56, 79-83.

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8 Ex. S-1 at 2. Tr. at 75.

⁷ Ex. S-1 at 2.

10 Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz at 2; Ex. S-1 at 1-2. 11 Tr. at 101.

⁵ Ex. S-1 at 1, Attachment A at 5; Tr. at 103.

⁶ Ex. S-1 at 5-6, Attachment A at 4.

24. Santa Cruz currently is providing service without a valid CC&N because of its failure to comply with the Commission's annual report filing requirements. Staff requested that the Company file an annual report, and received a 2021 Annual Report on March 13, 2023, which Staff states brought it into compliance with the Commission's annual report filing requirements. Because Santa Cruz became current on its annual report filing, Staff recommends that the Commission reinstate Santa

25. Santa Cruz does not have a Curtailment Tariff or Cross-Connection/Backflow Prevention Tariff on file with the Commission.⁶

Cruz's CC&N as described in Decision Nos. 41381 and 53955.5

- 26. Staff's review of the Commission's Consumer Services records from January 1, 2020. to May 12, 2023, did not find any complaints against Santa Cruz.⁷
- 27. Cactus State is in good standing with the Commission's Corporations Division, is in compliance with the Commission's annual report filing requirements, and the eleven complaints filed against it between February 1, 2022, and May 9, 2023, have been resolved and closed.8
- No customer comments were received by the Commission regarding the joint 28. application. In addition, Cactus State did not receive any communications from customers regarding the proposed acquisition of Santa Cruz.9

Application

- 29. Santa Cruz and Cactus State's joint application seeks approval of the reinstatement and transfer of Santa Cruz's CC&N and sale of its water utility assets to Cactus State. In addition, Cactus State seeks the establishment of a deferred debit related to the acquisition of Santa Cruz's assets to be considered by the Commission for recovery in a future rate proceeding. 10
- The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ") requested that Cactus 30. State acquire the Santa Cruz water system because of its compliance issues. 11
 - 31. On June 8, 2022, Joint Applicants entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement for Cactus

Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz at 9; Ex. S-1 at 3.
 Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz at 10.

14 Ex. S-1 at 7.

28 Ex. S-1 at 7

15 Ex. S-1 at 3; Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz at 5-6; Tr. at 21-22.

State to acquire Santa Cruz's water utility assets, water rights, real estate, and other related property. Under the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement, Santa Cruz's assets will be sold and its CC&N transferred for the purchase price of \$25,000, upon Commission approval and reinstatement of its CC&N.¹²

- 32. The Asset Purchase Agreement provides that all outstanding customer security deposits, refunds due on main extension agreements, and refunds due on service line installations are obligations that will remain with Santa Cruz, in addition to any outstanding Commission-related compliance matters not specifically transferred to Cactus State.¹³
- 33. Staff states that a utility's liabilities such as customer deposits, property tax, income tax, and Advances in Aid of Construction ("AIAC") are obligations of the utility and should be paid in full at the time of closing or transfer to Cactus State. In addition, Staff states that outstanding compliance requirements at the Commission, ADEQ, and the Arizona Department of Water Resources ("ADWR") associated with the operation of Santa Cruz as a utility also should transfer to Cactus State. ¹⁴
- 34. Cactus State indicates that it employs staff engineers and other qualified personnel with experience in the design and operation of water and wastewater systems, and that it has the ability to supplement its resources with qualified and licensed contract operators for daily plant operations. In addition, it has access to investment capital necessary to acquire small, distressed water and wastewater systems, and it can make the capital investments necessary to upgrade, improve, and maintain the acquired systems to ensure that safe and reliable service is provided to customers.¹⁵
- 35. Cactus State will hire a local non-affiliated firm to manage the day-to-day utility operations and emergency calls, and a third-party billing and customer service firm used by all CSWR's affiliates will provide customer service. CSWR will provide management, financial reporting, underground utility safety and location services, Commission regulatory reporting, environmental regulatory reporting and management, operations oversight, utility asset planning, engineering planning, ongoing utility maintenance, utility record keeping, and final customer dispute management

2 3

4

5 6

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16 Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz, Ex. 1 at 12-14.

¹⁷ Ex. S-1 at 4-5, Attachment A at 10; Tr. at 131-132, 141.

¹⁸ Ex. S-1, Attachment A at 2-3.

⁹ Ex. S-1, Attachment A at 3. ²⁰ Ex. S-1, Attachment A at 3.

services. CSWR also will monitor the activities of non-employee contractors. 16

36. Staff notes that Cactus State currently operates multiple water and wastewater systems in Arizona and has proven expertise in owning and operating small water utilities, with a business model focused on acquiring utilities like Santa Cruz. Staff states that Cactus State has access to capital and resources that will enable it to make improvements that are necessary to enhance the quality and reliability of service to Santa Cruz's current customers. In addition, Staff concludes that Cactus State has the managerial, technical, and financial ability to operate the Santa Cruz water system. Staff determined that Cactus State is a fit and proper entity to acquire Santa Cruz's water system and that the proposed sale of Santa Cruz's assets and transfer of its CC&N is in the public interest. 17

Water System

37. Santa Cruz's water system consists of one active groundwater well and two pressure tanks. Staff typically relies on a company's Utilities Division Annual Report for a detailed description of the system but was unable to do so in this case because of Santa Cruz's failure to file Annual Reports. In the past 20 years, Santa Cruz only submitted its 2021 Annual Report, which was submitted 2023. Staff relied on an engineering report prepared for Cactus State, which did not include information on the power output of the well pump, casing diameter, water use, mains, and customer meters. 18

- 38. Staff also uses a company's Utilities Division Annual Reports to project customer base growth. Without the Annual Reports, Staff was unable to perform a regression analysis and to project growth for the Santa Cruz water system. Santa Cruz served 20 customers in 1983 and 17 customers in 2023. Therefore, Staff concluded that growth in Santa Cruz's customer base is unlikely. 19
- 39. Staff was unable to determine Santa Cruz's annual water loss or annual water demand, but estimates an annual water demand of 905,930 gallons.²⁰
- 40. Staff was unable to determine whether the water system has sufficient production capacity to meet current demand due to lack of data on customer water use. Based on the pumping capacity of the Santa Cruz well, Staff estimates that it can produce 100,800 gallons per day. The Santa

Cruz system currently serves 17 connections and the average daily water use per resident in Arizona is

estimated at 146 gallons. Therefore, Staff concluded that the water system likely has sufficient

which requires Santa Cruz to maintain storage capacity equivalent to at least the average daily demand

during the peak month of the year. Therefore, Staff concluded that the water system does not have

adequate storage capacity to serve current customers or growth. Cactus State indicates that it has plans

to address the storage issues but needs additional information regarding production and customer

ADEQ is unable to determine whether Santa Cruz is delivering water that meets the water quality

standards required by Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 141, the National Primary Drinking

Water Regulations, and A.A.C. Title 18, Chapter 4. The CSR notes major unresolved and ongoing

deficiencies related to the distribution system, finished water storage, and source water. The CSR

further notes that the current owner is working with ADEQ to correct the deficiencies. In addition,

Santa Cruz did not have an operator certified by ADEQ until recently when it obtained one due to the

pending sale to Cactus State. Cactus State indicated that it is in the process of agreeing to a consent

or ADWR compliance issues. Cactus State is in the process of resolving or already has resolved the

compliance issues with ADEQ and ADWR, all of which are related to water and wastewater systems

that have been acquired recently by Cactus State and the compliance issues began with the prior

The Santa Cruz water system is not regulated by ADWR.²⁴

The water system does not have a storage tank in violation of A.A.C. R18-5-503(A),

According to an ADEQ Compliance Status Report ("CSR") from February 8, 2023,

As noted by Staff, some of Cactus State's water and wastewater systems have ADEQ

production capacity to meet the needs of current customers.²¹

1

2

3

41.

5

6

7

.

9

usage.22

42.

43.

44.

owners.25

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

24

25

26

²¹ Ex. S-1, Attachment A at 3.

order with ADEQ to address the ongoing violations.²³

DECISION NO. 79078

²⁷ Ex. S-1, Attachment A at 3; Tr. at 83-84.

¹ 23 Ex. S-1, Attachment A at 4; Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz at 9, Ex. 1 at 23; Tr. at 83.

²⁸ Ex. S-1, Attachment A at 4.

²⁵ Ex. S-1, Attachment A at 5-6; Ex. CS-2-Santa Cruz at 3-4; Tr. at 25-28, 61.

Non-Viability

45. Decision No. 75743 (September 19, 2016) defines a non-viable water or wastewater utility as one that: (1) lacks and is unable to acquire the managerial, technical, or financial capabilities to safely and adequately operate; (2) currently is not in compliance or is unable to achieve compliance with ADEQ, ADWR, or Commission rules or orders, or is unable to achieve such compliance without managerial, technical, or financial assistance; or (3) will not be able to meet other regulatory requirements on a short or long-term basis.

- 46. The joint application states that Santa Cruz is a non-viable water utility under the criteria set forth in Decision No. 75743. In particular, regarding the first factor, the current owners have failed to make capital improvements and maintain or improve essential equipment, maintain adequate staffing, and consistently or correctly perform maintenance. In addition, Cactus State asserts that Santa Cruz does not have the necessary managerial, technical, and financial capabilities to operate safely and adequately. Regarding the second factor, Cactus State asserts that Santa Cruz has a lengthy history of ADEQ compliance violations, including the failure to take required water samples. And regarding the third factor, Cactus State argues that Santa Cruz, as a Class E utility, will be unable to comply with new national water quality standards. Cactus State believes that Santa Cruz would be unable to address the significant ADEQ issues and storage capacity requirements without acquisition by Cactus State.²⁶
- 47. Cactus State and Santa Cruz included a letter from the current manager of Santa Cruz with the joint application. In it, Ms. Lynnea Palomino, Manager and Chief Financial Officer, indicated that Santa Cruz does not have the expertise or funds necessary to maintain the system and that the owner has been trying to sell it for several years.²⁷
- 48. Staff conducted a virtual site visit of the Santa Cruz water system and expressed concern with Santa Cruz's managerial, technical, and financial capabilities. Staff noted several issues, including a lack of storage at the well site, a corroded 85-gallon bladder tank, the lack of an air vent at the well, no posted well number, an absence of well meters, and that customers at higher elevations receive unreliable and inconsistent service. Because the water system does not maintain proper

DECISION NO. 79078

²⁶ Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz at 7, Ex. 1 at 19-20; Ex. S-1 at 2, Attachment A at 7, 9; Tr. at 30, 84.

²⁷ Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz at 11, Ex. 14.

pressure, customers living at higher elevations do not receive water at certain times of the day. ADEQ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 10 11

15

16

12

13

14

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

identified that the distribution system is interconnected with private wells, also potentially contributing to the pressure issues. In addition, Staff noted that bladder tanks at the well site are held together using surge restraints and are not properly supported, which can pose a safety and service reliability risk because of the risk of the tanks tipping. Also, the water system does not have storage capacity, so in the event of a pump failure, customers would be without water until the repairs could be made. The well does not have a master meter and thus Santa Cruz is unable to track water loss or use, and it can result in increased wear and tear on system components, diminished water availability, and negative environmental impacts. Staff believes that these are examples of the failure to make necessary capital improvements, which impacts the overall performance and sustainability of the water system. Staff also noted that Santa Cruz lacks adequate staffing and has not filed a rate case application since its CC&N was granted and the current rates are insufficient to support maintenance and improvements for the water system, which is reflective of poor management.²⁸

- Staff also acknowledged that a history of compliance violations may indicate that a 49. utility is not viable. Staff noted that the ADEQ CSR for the Santa Cruz water system indicated significant deficiencies related to major operations and maintenance deficiencies, including the need to perform a new source water analysis, lack of finished storage, and distribution system deficiencies. In addition, Santa Cruz is not participating in the Monitoring Assistance Program ("MAP"), which would ensure that proper water quality monitoring is conducted by adhering to the Safe Drinking Water Information System Database schedule. The ADEQ inspection report provided with the joint application indicates that the deficiencies noted by ADEQ are a risk to any person, public health, safety, and the welfare of the environment.²⁹
- 50. Staff further acknowledged that it can be difficult for a Class E utility to meet all Maximum Contaminant Level ("MCL") requirements, and that the requirements are becoming more stringent, including potential MCL standards for per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances. According to Staff, because Santa Cruz is unable to meet existing regulatory requirements, including filing annual

⁸ Ex. S-1, Attachment A at 8-9; Tr. at 131.

²⁹ Ex. S-1, Attachment A at 9.

³⁰ Ex. S-1 at 10; Tr. at 138.

31 Ex. S-1 at 4, Attachment A at 8-10; Tr. at 135-136.

32 Ex. S-1 at 6; Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz at 7.

³³ Ex. S-1 at 6; Ex. CS-1 Santa Cruz at 7-8, Ex. 5 at 8; Tr. at 141.

reports with the Commission, having adequate storage capacity, and participating in MAP, it is unlikely to meet other regulatory requirements on a short and long-term basis.³⁰

- Santa Cruz lacks the managerial, technical, and financial capabilities required to provide safe and reliable service. In addition, a history of ADEQ violations and current non-compliance also indicates a lack of viability, and Santa Cruz is unlikely to meet all regulatory requirements in the future. Staff believes that Santa Cruz is unlikely to be able to address the issues with its water system without acquisition by Cactus State. Therefore, Staff agrees that Santa Cruz is non-viable under the criteria set forth in Decision No. 75743.³¹
- 52. Based on the record in this matter, we find that Santa Cruz is a non-viable water utility under the criteria set forth in Decision No. 75743. Santa Cruz lacks the managerial, technical, and financial capability to operate safely and adequately, and is unable to acquire such capabilities. Santa Cruz has a history of regulatory non-compliance and currently has significant ADEQ non-compliance issues. In addition, Santa Cruz likely will not be able to meet all regulatory requirements in the future without additional managerial, technical, or financial assistance, which it is unable to acquire.

Deferred Debit

- 53. The joint application seeks Commission approval of a deferred debit for Santa Cruz's water system consistent with the incentives for acquiring small, non-viable water utilities provided in Decision No. 75626 (July 25, 2016). Cactus State asserts that a deferred debit is necessary to make the acquisition economically viable and to facilitate the consolidation of small water and wastewater systems in Arizona.³²
- 54. Cactus State proposes a deferred debit of \$50,000 for Santa Cruz for consideration in its next rate case, subject to audit and true up, which it calculated based on the purchase price plus engineering costs, less the net book value of the utility plant being acquired, as established in the next rate case.³³

²⁶ 34 Ex. S-1 at 6.

27 35 Ex. S-1 at 6.

³⁶ Ex. S-1 at 6; Tr. at 141-142, 163.

³⁷ Ex. CS-1-Santa Cruz, Ex. 5 at 4.

38 Ex. S-1 at 6-7; Tr. at 142.

55. Staff reviewed Cactus State's requested deferred debt and recommends approval, determining that the proposed mechanism is consistent with the Commission's policy outlined in Decision No. 75626 to promote the consolidation of small, troubled water and wastewater systems.³⁴

- 56. Staff notes that significant components of the proposed deferred debit, such as Net Utility Plant and Contributions in Aid of Construction ("CIAC"), only can be reviewed properly in a full rate case proceeding. In addition, the calculated deferred debit is based on financial data reported in Santa Cruz's unaudited Annual Report, which lacks necessary details. Therefore, Staff is unable to properly evaluate Net Utility Plant and CIAC, and thus it cannot comment on the accuracy of the reported amounts.³⁵
- 57. Staff recommends that the Commission authorize Cactus State to establish a deferred debit for the Santa Cruz system in an amount not to exceed \$50,000. Staff recommends calculating the deferred debit as the purchase price plus engineering costs, less the net book value of the plant, which results in a total deferred debit of \$50,000, subject to verification and support in a future rate case. Staff's methodology is consistent with that approved by the Commission in other acquisition cases. Staff also agrees that a 30-year amortization period for the proposed deferred debit is appropriate. At the full amount of \$50,000, Cactus State would recover \$1,667 annually over 30 years, with an estimated monthly bill impact of approximately \$8.17 per customer.³⁶
- 58. The methodology used by Cactus State and Staff is consistent with the methodology used in previous Cactus State asset acquisitions.³⁷
- 59. Staff states that it is not recommending an acquisition premium in this proceeding but believes that it is appropriate to use the criteria outlined in Decision No. 75626 as the basis for determining an acquisition premium in a future proceeding.³⁸
- 60. Cactus State and Staff's methodology to calculate the deferred debit is reasonable and consistent with prior Commission practice in other acquisitions. We find that it is reasonable and appropriate to approve the deferred debit agreed to by Cactus State and Staff in the total maximum

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

amount of \$50,000, subject to audit, verification, and true-up. We acknowledge that the establishment of a deferred debit incentivizes utilities with the financial, technical, and managerial capabilities to purchase distressed utilities that are unable or unwilling to provide safe, reliable, and adequate service. Approval of the deferred debit in this proceeding is not a guarantee of recovery, as the amount proposed for recovery in a future rate case will be considered in the context of whether such recovery produces rates that are just and reasonable.

Staff Recommendations

- Staff recommends that:39 61.
- 1. The Commission approve the sale of Santa Cruz's assets and the transfer of its CC&N to Cactus State.
- 2. The Commission approve Santa Cruz's requested reinstatement of its CC&N and subsequent transfer to Cactus State.
- 3. The Commission authorize Cactus State to establish a deferred debit for the Santa Cruz water system in an amount not to exceed \$50,000, recoverable over 30 years, for Commission consideration in the next rate case.
- 4. All outstanding compliance requirements at the Commission, ADEQ, and ADWR associated with the operation of Santa Cruz as a utility transfer with Santa Cruz's CC&N to Cactus State by this Decision.
- 5. Any liability incurred for the operation of Santa Cruz as a utility be paid in full at the time of closing or transfer with the CC&N to Cactus State.
- 6. Cactus State file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this Docket and within two years of the effective date of this Decision, a copy of an amended county franchise agreement including Santa Cruz's water service transfer area.
- 7. The Commission authorize Joint Applicants to engage in any transactions and to execute, or cause to be executed, any documents necessary to effectuate the authorizations requested as part of the application.

³⁹ Ex. S-1 at 5, 7-9, Attachment A at 4-5; Tr. at 130.

- 8. The Commission require Joint Applicants to file all pertinent documents evidencing the consummation of this transaction within 90 days of the effective date of this Decision.
- 9. The owner of the Santa Cruz water system submit an Annual Report with accurate descriptions of the plant in service, as a compliance item in this Docket, within 30 days of the filing of the consummation of the transaction, or within six months of this Decision, whichever comes first.
- 10. The owner of the Santa Cruz water system file documentation in this Docket as a compliance item demonstrating that the Santa Cruz water system is in compliance with the storage requirements in A.A.C. R18-5-503(A) within two years of this Decision.
- 11. The owner of the Santa Cruz water system be required, as a compliance item in this docket, to file an updated Curtailment Tariff for the Santa Cruz system within 30 days of the filing of the consummation of the transaction, or within 120 days of this Decision, whichever comes first.
- 12. The owner of the Santa Cruz water system be required, as a compliance item in this docket, to file an updated Cross-Connection/Backflow Prevention Tariff for the Santa Cruz system within 30 days of the filing of the consummation of the transaction, or within 120 days of this Decision, whichever comes first.
- 13. The owner of the water system be required, as a compliance item in this docket, to file documentation verifying that all open ADEQ violations have been closed and that the water system is in compliance with ADEQ within 120 days of the filing of the consummation of the transaction, or within 180 days of this Decision, whichever comes first.
- 14. The owner of the Santa Cruz water system come into compliance with the Commission within one year of the filing of the consummation of the transaction, or within 18 months of this Decision, whichever comes first.
- 62. Cactus State agrees with Staff's recommended conditions and future compliance requirements related to the operation, maintenance, and improvement of Santa Cruz's water system. 40
- 63. Cactus State objects to Staff's Recommendation No. 5 that any liability incurred for the operation of Santa Cruz as a utility be paid in full at the time of closing or transfer with the CC&N to

⁴⁰ Ex. CS-2-Santa Cruz at 5-6; Ex. CS-3-Santa Cruz; Tr. at 24-25, 28-30, 165.

Cactus State. Cactus State indicates that the recommendation would expose Cactus State to potential 1 2 liabilities and is inconsistent with the Asset Purchase Agreement, which allocates pre-closing 3 obligations to the seller. Cactus State also indicates that the liability provision in the Asset Purchase Agreement is identical to what was included in the agreements for its other recent acquisitions in 4 5 Arizona. Cactus State requests a modification to Staff's recommendation to specifically enumerate that any of Santa Cruz's outstanding customer deposits, property tax, income tax, and refunds for AIAC 6 7 must be paid in full at the time of closing or transfer with the CC&N to Cactus State. Cactus State states that its proposed modification would ensure that it is not subject to any unforeseen liability that may arise after closing and ensure that it is not responsible for historical business liabilities that do not 10 relate to the water system assets. Cactus State will assume liabilities associated with customers, 11 including customer refunds and customer pre-payments. Cactus State further acknowledges that 12 ADEQ and ADWR liabilities, other than any fines incurred by existing owners, will transfer with the

assets to Cactus State, and thus it does not object to Staff Recommendation No. 4.41

Resolution

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Staff's Recommendation No. 5 has the potential to expose Cactus State to broad 64. preacquisition liabilities, which could discourage the acquisition of small, troubled utilities. In its Staff Report, Staff enumerated the following examples of liabilities that are obligations of the utility customer deposits, property tax, income tax, and AIAC. At the hearing, Staff was unable to provide additional specific examples of preacquisition liabilities that it believes Cactus State should bear responsibility for after closing. Cactus State agrees to liability retention language enumerating the examples provided by Staff. Further, Cactus State did not object to Staff Recommendation No. 4, which affirms that all outstanding compliance requirements at the Commission, ADEQ, and ADWR associated with the operation of Santa Cruz shall transfer with its CC&N to Cactus State, 42 although we note that Santa Cruz is not regulated by ADWR and there do not appear to be any outstanding Commission compliance requirements. Staff's recommendation that any liability incurred for operation of Santa Cruz as a utility be paid in full at the time of closing or transfer to Cactus State is

42 Tr. at 58-59.

Ex. CS-2-Santa Cruz at 5-6; Ex. CS-3-Santa Cruz; Tr. at 24-25, 28-30, 56-61.

⁴³ See Ex. S-1 at 5, Attachment A at 5.

⁴⁴ See testimony of Mr. Cox describing the record keeping practices of the acquired companies and difficulties obtaining historical information. Tr. at 29-30.

overly broad and unnecessary to protect ratepayers. Therefore, we modify Staff's recommendation to provide that any of Santa Cruz's outstanding customer deposits, property tax, income tax, and refunds for AIAC must be paid in full at the time of closing or shall transfer with its CC&N to Cactus State.

- 65. Staff's Engineering Report included the recommendation that the owner of the Santa Cruz water system come into compliance with the Commission within one year of the filing of the consummation of the transaction, or within 18 months of this Decision, whichever comes first. The only Commission compliance issue noted by Staff relates to Santa Cruz's provision of service without a CC&N and failure to file Utilities Division Annual Reports. Santa Cruz's operation without a CC&N is resolved by this Decision and the reinstatement of its CC&N. Staff indicated that the filing of the 2021 Annual Report with the Commission brought it current with the annual report filing requirements. Moreover, it is unlikely that Cactus State would be able to obtain the records to submit historical reports. Therefore, there are no delinquent Commission compliance issues that need to be addressed by Cactus State after the consummation of the transaction or issuance of this Decision.
- 66. We find that it is in the public interest to approve the joint application, as recommended by Staff with the modifications discussed herein. In addition, we find that Cactus State is a fit and proper entity to acquire the service territory and assets of Santa Cruz. Therefore, we find that it is reasonable and appropriate to reinstate Santa Cruz's CC&N and authorize the transfer of Santa Cruz's water system assets and CC&N to Cactus State. We further find that Staff's recommendations and conditions, with the modifications discussed above, are reasonable and appropriate, and we adopt them.
- 67. We also find that it is reasonable and appropriate to require Cactus State to notify the customers acquired from Santa Cruz of the acquisition and Cactus State's contact information for customer service and emergencies, by means of an insert in its first billing to customers, and to file a copy of the notice with Docket Control within 10 days of the notice being sent.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

 Santa Cruz and Cactus State are public service corporations within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281, 40-282, 40-285.

- The Commission has jurisdiction over Santa Cruz, Cactus State, and the subject matter of the joint application.
 - 3. Notice of the joint application was provided in accordance with Arizona Law.
 - 4. Reinstatement of Santa Cruz's CC&N is reasonable and in the public interest.
- Cactus State is a fit and proper entity to receive the assets and certificated area of Santa Cruz, and it is in the public interest to approve the sale of assets and transfer of Santa Cruz's CC&N to Cactus State.
- 6. Staff's recommendations, as modified herein, are just and reasonable and in the public interest, and should be adopted.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the joint application for approval of the sale and transfer of the assets of Santa Cruz Water Company to Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC, and the reinstatement and transfer of the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity of Santa Cruz Water Company to Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC is granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED reinstating the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity of Santa Cruz Water Company as described in Decision No. 41381 (June 28, 1971).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Santa Cruz Water Company is authorized to transfer its water utility assets and Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Santa Cruz Water Company and Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC are authorized to engage in any transactions and to execute, or cause to be executed, any documents necessary to effectuate the authorizations requested with the application.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC shall file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, within 90 days of the effective date of this Decision, all pertinent documents evidencing consummation of the transaction.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC may record a deferred debit for the Santa Cruz water system of not greater than \$50,000, recoverable over 30 years, subject to audit, verification, and true-up in its next rate case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC shall notify the customers acquired from Santa Cruz Water Company of the acquisition and its contact information for customer service and emergencies, by means of an insert in its first billing to customers, and should file a copy of the notice with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this Docket, within 10 days of the notice being sent to customers.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC shall charge the existing authorized rates and charges of Santa Cruz Water Company upon installation of customer meters. Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC shall install customer meters as soon as possible after closing. Prior to the installation of customer meters, Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC is authorized to continue charging customers a flat rate of \$30 per month. Once Cactus State obtains sufficient data regarding customer usage, and in consultation with Staff as to timing and methodology, it shall average each customer's monthly usage and issue a bill credit for the difference between the \$30 rate paid and what the customer would have paid based on its average usage and the Commission-authorized rates.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC shall file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, within two years of the effective date of this Decision, a copy of the amended county franchise agreement for the acquired service area.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the owner of the Santa Cruz Water Company water system shall file, as a compliance item in this Docket, Curtailment and Cross-Connection/Backflow Prevention Tariffs for the Santa Cruz water system within 30 days of the filing of the consummation of the transaction, or within 120 days of this Decision, whichever comes first.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any outstanding compliance requirements at the Commission and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality associated with the operation of Santa Cruz Water Company as a utility shall transfer with Santa Cruz Water Company's Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to Cactus State Utility Operating Company, LLC.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any of Santa Cruz Water Company's outstanding customer deposits, property tax, income tax, and refunds for Advances in Aid of Construction must be paid in full at the time of closing or shall transfer with its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to Cactus

State Utility Operating Company, LLC. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the owner of the Santa Cruz Water Company water system shall submit an Annual Report with accurate descriptions of the system plant in service, as a compliance item in this Docket, within 30 days of the filing of the consummation of the transaction, or within six months of this Decision, whichever comes first. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the owner of the Santa Cruz Water Company water system shall file documentation demonstrating that the system complies with the storage requirements in A.A.C. R18-5-503(A), as a compliance item in this Docket, within two years of this Decision. . . .

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the owner of the Santa Cruz Water Company water system 1 shall file documentation verifying that all open Arizona Department of Environmental Quality violations have been closed and that the Santa Cruz water system is in compliance with Arizona 3 Department of Environmental Quality, as a compliance item in this Docket, within 120 days of the 4 5 filing of the consummation of the transaction, or within 180 days of this Decision, whichever comes 6 first. 7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 8 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 9 10 11 12 13 COMMISSIONER THOMPSON 14 15 WITNESS WHEREOF, I, DOUGLAS R. CLARK, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, 16 have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, 17 day of August this 18 19 20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 21 DISSENT 22 23 DISSENT 24 JLM/(gb) 25 26 27 28

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY AND CACTUS STATE UTILITY OPERATING COMPANY, LLC
2	DOCKET NO.:	WS-21155A-22-0309, ET AL.
3		
4	Patrick J. Black FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC	
5	2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600 Phoenix, AZ 85016	
6	pblack a felaw.com Attorneys for Applicants	
7	Consented to Service by Email	
8	Robin Mitchell, Director Legal Division	
9	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIC	DN
10	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 LegalDivazec.gov	
11	LegalDiva azec.gov utildivservicebyemail a azec.gov Consented to Service by Email	
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		